



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,211	06/19/2001	Bryan C. Turner	95-465	9864
23164	7590	01/04/2005	EXAMINER	
LEON R TURKEVICH 2000 M STREET NW 7TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 200363307			NGUYEN, PHUOC H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application N .

09/883,211

Applicant(s)

TURNER ET AL.

Examiner

Phuoc H. Nguyen

Art Unit

2143

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 7-13, 17-23, 27-33 and 37-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4-6, 14-16, 24-26 and 34-36 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations cited in claims 4, 14, 24, and 34 must be shown or point-out clearly where existing drawings disclosing these limitations, otherwise the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3, 7-13, 17-23, 27-33, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schuster et al (Hereafter, Schuster) U.S. Patent 6,731,630.

4. Regarding claims 1, 10, 20, and 30, Schuster discloses a network-enabled user interface device (Figure 4), the device including: a display screen configured for displaying display elements (406); a user input interface configured for supplying user inputs (412); an interface controller (Figure 2, User interface circuitry 208) configured for receiving application-based commands for at least one of first operations, second operations, and third operations, the interface controller configured for causing the display screen to display the display elements based on the first operations, configuring the user input interface for selected input operations based on the second operations, and generating application-based responses based on the supplied user inputs and the third operations (col. 8 lines 66 through col. 9 lines 7; and col. 9, lines 41-60); and an application controller (Figure 2, processor 204) configured for communication with executable application operations having generated the application-based commands and selectively transferring the application-based responses to selected ones of the executable application operation (col. 9 lines 61 through col. 10 lines 16), the application controller including a network interface (Figure 2 network interface 200) configured for

Art Unit: 2143

receiving the application-based commands and outputting selected ones of the application-based responses via an open protocol network (col. 6 last paragraph through col. 7 lines 2).

5. Regarding claims 2,12,22, and 32, Schuster discloses a display abstraction interface configured for generating display-specific images for display of the respective display elements by the display screen (col. 9 lines 41-47); and an input abstraction interface configured for generating hardware-specific commands for configuration of the user input interface for the respective selected input operations based on input elements selected according to the second commands (col. 13 lines 29-34; and col. 14 lines 7-11).

6. Regarding claims 3,13,23, and 33, Schuster discloses the interface controller further includes a message controller configured for receiving the application-based commands as first text stringy and generating the application-based responses as second text strings based on the supplied user inputs and the third operations, the message controller parsing the first text strings for retrieval of the application-based commands (col. 9 lines 41-60; and col. 14, lines 34-52).

7. Regarding claims 7,17,27, and 37, Schuster discloses a locally-executable resource configured for generating a first group of the application-based commands (Figure 2, program button 220), the application controller configured for identifying a first of the application-based responses as corresponding to the locally-executable resource and in response outputting the first of the application-based responses to the locally executable resource (col. 9 lines 54-60).

8. Regarding claims 8,18,28, and 38, Schuster discloses wherein the open protocol network is an Internet Protocol network (col. 8 lines 10-19).

9. Regarding claims 9,19,29, and 39, Schuster discloses a telephony portion configured for providing Voice over IP based communications via the open protocol network concurrent with the display of the display elements and the selected input operations (col. 6 lines 42-67).

10. Regarding claims 11,21, and 31, Shuster discloses the receiving step includes receiving multiple groups of application-based commands via open protocol network from respective server executing the respective executable application operations, and the second generating step includes outputting the application-based responses to the respective servers via the open protocol network (col. 9 lines 61 through col. 10 lines 16; col. 6 last paragraph through col. 7 lines 2; col. 8 lines 10-19; and col. 11 2nd paragraph).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 4-6, 14-16, 24-26, and 34-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for objection for claims above:

The prior art of records fails to disclose an user interface controller including an extensible markup language module configure for identifying user input and response; graphic user interface elements table configured for displaying and identifying input elements specified by the identifiers to display abstraction interface and the input abstraction interface respectively.

The closest found prior art is Schuster et al (Hereafter, Schuster) U.S. Patent 6,731,630. Schuster et al. disclose a flexible device with configurable interface that would allow identifying and displaying user's input and response. However, Schuster et al. fail to disclose an extensible

Art Unit: 2143

markup language module and graphic user interface element table configured for displaying and identifying input elements specified by the identifiers to display abstraction interface and the input abstraction interface respectively as cited above.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hayon et al. U.S. Patent D448,356 disclose a telephone for VOIP network.

Fan U.S. Patent 6,519,250 discloses a quick connect internet telephone and method therefor.

Edholm U.S. Patent 6,449,269 discloses a packet voice telephony system and method.

Olshansky U.S. Patent 6,493,437 disclose an advertising-subsidized PC-telephony.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuoc H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3919. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon -Thu (7AM-4: 30PM) and off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuoc H. Nguyen
Examiner
Art Unit 2143

December 3, 2004



DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100